Acylation of Olefins by Acetyl Hexachloroantimonate. Selective Formation of β , γ -Unsaturated Ketones under Kinetic Control and Mechanistic Rationale as an Ene Reaction[†]

H. M. R. Hoffmann*1 and Tadahiko Tsushima

Contribution from the Chemistry Department. University College, London WC1H OAJ, England. Received October 21, 1976

Abstract: The acylation of a variety of olefins including natural products with acetyl hexachloroantimonate in methylene chloride at -50 to -25 °C in the presence of a hindered tertiary amine affords β , γ -unsaturated ketones to the exclusion of any α , β isomers. It is suggested that the deconjugated enone is formed via an ene reaction and that a number of so-called aliphatic Friedel-Crafts reactions have to be reconsidered mechanistically as a function of counterion, reaction medium, temperature, and mode of work up.

Although the acylation of alkenes has been widely studied for more than 80 years² and reviewed frequently,³ the reaction has not yet met with nearly the same success as the remarkably useful Friedel-Crafts acylation of aromatics which was discovered in spring 1877 almost exactly 100 years ago. The comparative failure of aliphatic acylation has been ascribed to the formation of various reactive intermediates arising via electrophilic addition, elimination, and isomerization yielding complex mixtures of products. Nonetheless, the careful observation of optimum reaction conditions and appropriate work up allow reactions that are of synthetic utility. One of these methods appears to involve the use of strongly polarized complexes containing incipient acyl cations⁴ as recently reported by Smit and his co-workers.⁵

In this paper we wish to report the acetylation of alkenes, including some natural products, by acetyl hexachloroantimonate (CH₃C⁺O SbCl₆⁻).^{4a} In particular, we were interested to determine to what extent β , γ -unsaturated ketones might be formed in preference to their α , β isomers under conditions of strict kinetic control.^{6,7} To prevent the harmful build up of acid we performed all acetylations in the presence of bases of low nucleophilicity. In general, the reaction was carried out by quickly adding equimolar amounts of the alkene and base to acetyl hexachloroantimonate partially dissolved in dichloromethane at -50 to -25 °C. After less than 1 h the reaction was complete and the products were worked up.

 † This paper is dedicated to Professor R. B. Woodward on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Experimental Section

Acetyl hexachloroantimonate was prepared from acetyl chloride and antimony pentachloride according to the procedure of Olah et al.^{4a} The crystalline compound was stored at 0 °C under exclusion of air. Dicyclohexylethylamine and ethyldiisopropylamine were commercially available (British Drug Houses Ltd. and Aldrich Chemical Co., respectively). In the later experiments Hünig base (ethyldiisopropylamine) was preferred to dicyclohexylethylamine as an acid trap, because its hydrochloride can be removed readily from pentane by washing with ice-cold water.

General Procedure. Equimolar amounts of alkene (5 mmol) and dicyclohexylethylamine (5 mmol), each dissolved in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂, were mixed and quickly added to the partially dissolved acetyl cation complex (5 mmol) in 20 mL of CH_2Cl_2 under vigorous stirring at -50 °C. The temperature was kept at -50 °C for 1 h and in the case of less reactive olefins was gradually raised to -25 °C. After the reaction was completed the dark brown reaction mixture was immediately poured into n-pentane (200 mL) containing some water (3 mL) to decompose any remaining acetyl cation complex and shaken for 1 min, the precipitate being removed by filtration. The precipitate was twice washed with *n*-pentane and the combined organic layer was washed with water, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated under reduced pressure to leave an oily product, which was analyzed by GLC; a 30 m dinitrophenyl naphthyl ether capillary column was found to give the best separation. Any remaining starting material and ammonium salt were removed by column chromatography on silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh) using benzene as an eluent. The ketones formed were then eluted with a mixed solvent (benzene-ethyl acetate). The acetylated products were purified by preparative GLC, preparative TLC (Merck precoated silica gel plates, 2 mm, benzene-ethyl acetate eluent), and in the instance of the reaction with caryophyllene by HPLC. The products were converted into their semicarbazones which were recrystallized to constant mp and analyzed mass spectrometrically.

Table	I.	Effect o	f :	Bases (on t	he	Reaction	of	Cyclohexene	with	Acetvl	Hexachl	oroantimonate
raute	14	Pricer o		Duses (JILL	110	Reaction	01	Cy clone ache	** 1011	neery	110Auom	orountimonate

		Product composition, % ⁴								
No.	Base	[molar ratio]			Hydrocarbon ^b	Unknown	Unknown ^c			
1		1	22.5	8.9	27.2		32.1			
2	Tetramethylurea	1	61.2	1.3	29.8	7.7				
3	2,6-Lutidine	1	57.0	1.2	0-0.5	6.2 5.1	30.0			
4	2.6-Lutidine	5	75.5	< 0.3	3.7	2.6	18.2			
5	2,4,6-Collidine	10	80.6	Not detected	3.7	3.2	12.6			
6	Triethylamine	10	75.4	Not detected	3.2	5.1	16.7			
7	Dicyclohexylethylamine	5	83.3	Not detected	Negligible	Negligible	16.7			

^a Determined by GLC on a dinitrophenyl naphthyl ether column and taking integrated peak ratios. ^b Most probably dimer. ^c This compound had the longest retention time and its ¹H NMR spectrum showed an acyl singlet as well as complicated broad signals suggestive of a polymer.

Journal of the American Chemical Society / 99:18 / August 31, 1977

Table II. Products of	Olefin Acet	ylation and	Their	Characterization
-----------------------	-------------	-------------	-------	------------------

No.	Olefin	Products	Yield,a %	IR (CCl ₄), cm ⁻¹	NMR ⁶ TMS ^{CC1} 4	Semicar- bazone mp, °C	Mass spectrum of semicarbazone
1	\bigcirc		26	1712	2.10 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ CO), 3.00 (br m, 1 H, CH ₃ COC <u>H</u>), 5.81 (m, 2 H, olefinic H's)	126-7	181 (M ⁺), 122, 100, 83, 79, 57
2			73	1710	2.03 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ CO), 2.92 (s, 2 H, CH ₂ COCH ₃), 5.52 (br m, 1 H, olefinic H)	122-3	195 (M ⁺), 136, 100, 83, 79, 57
3	$\frac{2}{3}$	3a	>90	1712	1.73 (m, 3 H, olefinic CH ₃), 2.07 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ CO), 3.06 (s, 2 H, CH ₂ COCH ₃), 4.80-4.93 (m, 2 H, ole- finic H's)	107-8	155 (M ⁺), 154, 140, 111, 100, 97, 96, 83, 57
4			10-20	1710	0.83 (s, 3 H, gem CH ₃), 1.30 (s, 3 H, gem CH ₃), 2.05 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ CO), 3.00 (s, 2 H, CH ₂ - COCH ₃), 5.40	155-6	235 (M ⁺), 176, 160, 149, 132, 119, 107, 100, 91, 57
5			40-50	1710	(or hi, 1 H, olefinic H) 1.00 (two overlapping s, 6 H, gem CH ₃ 's), 2.01 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ CO), 4.70– 5.20 (m, 4 H, $=$ CH ₂ 's	176-8	303 (M ⁺), 288, 259, 244, 236, 220, 192, 188, 166, 152, 128, 109, 94
6	,	+ unknown adduct d (31%)					
•	o co	No reaction					
_	6 cholesteryl acetate						
7	$\left\langle \right\rangle$		50-60		7a: 1.73 (br s, 3 H, olefinic CH ₃), 1.67 (br s, 3 H, ole- finic CH ₃), 2.13 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ CO), 4.70 (m, 2 H, =CH ₂), 5.60 (br m, 1H,		7a: <i>J</i> 178 (M ⁺), 176, 174, 163, 145, 135, 119, 107, 93, 79, 64
	7	7a ^r (29.7 <i>%</i>) 7b (24.8%)			7b: 1.67 (br s, 3 H, olefinic CH ₃), 2.07 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ - CO), 3.07 (br s, 2 H, CH ₃ - COCH ₃), 4.87-4.97 (m 2 H $-$		7b: f 178 (M ⁺), 176, 163, 161, 145, 135, 120, 119, 105, 95, 93, 79
					(iii, 2 H, $\pm CH_2$). 7c + 7d: 1.67 (m, 6 H, 2 olefinic CH ₃ 's), 2.08 (s, 3 H, CH ₃ CO), 3.08 (br s, 2 H, CH ₂ COCH ₃), 5.38		7c: ^f 178 (M ⁺), 176, 174, 163, 161, 160, 145, 135, 121, 120, 105, 93, 79
0		7c + 7d (45.5%) 7c/7d = 19.7:25.8			(br m, 1 H, olefinic)		7d: ^f 178 (M ⁺), 176, 163, 161, 160, 159, 145, 135, 121, 120 105, 93, 91, 79
8							

^{*a*} For determination of yields see Table III. ^{*b*} One minor acetylation product formed in 13% relative to 4a. ^{*c*} The structure of 5a was elucidated by comparing its ¹³C NMR spectrum with that of the maleic anhydride adduct of 5 [see A. Nickon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 77, 1190 (1955)]. The adduct with maleic anhydride showed ¹³C signals δ 113.9, 118.3 (terminal =¹³CH₂'s), 151.3 (C-4), 156.6 (C-8), whereas the acetylated product 5a showed the corresponding signals at 113.5, 118.5, 151.3, and 156.9 as well as the CH₃¹³CO signal at 212.5 ppm. Caryophyllene shows significantly different olefinic carbon chemical shifts at 116.3, 128.8, 139.4, and 158.7 ppm. ^{*d*} Although this compound could not be isolated, it does not seem to contain olefinic carbon atoms. ^{*e*} The best GLC separation of 7a-d was achieved by using a dinitrophenyl naphthyl ether column. The four isomers are described according to their retention times, 7a coming off the column first. ^{*f*} Mass spectra of 7a-d determined by combined GLC-MS; 7c + 7d were also converted into their semicarbazones and shown to give two acetyl tion of the enol.

Table III. Experimental Details

Reaction	Olefin	g (mmol)	CH ₃ C ⁺ OSbCl ₆ ; g (mmol)	Base, ^a g (mmol)	Temp, °C (time, h)	Product, g	Yield, %	Determination of yield
1	\bigcirc	0.410 (5.0)	1.885 (5.0)	1.045 (5.0)	-30 ca. $-20(0.5)$	0.16	26.0	GLCb
2	—	0.480 (5.0)	1.885 (5.0)	1.045 (5.0)	-50 ca30 (0.5)	0.511	73.1	Isolation by column chro- matography and distilla- tion
3	\succ	0.28 (5.0)	1.885 (5.0)	1.045 (5.0)	-50 ca. $-30(0.5)$	0.475	>90¢	Isolation by distillation
4	X	0.544 (4.0)	1.508 (4.0)	0.836 (4.0)	-70 ca. -40 (1.0)	0.079 <i>e</i>	12.9	Isolation by TLC
5		1.428 (7.0)	2.639 (7.0)	1.463 (7.0)	-40 ca30 (1.0)	0.804 (mix)	47.4	GLC after column chroma- tography. Purified by HPLCg
6		1.712 (4.0)	1.508 (4.0)	0.836 (4.0)	-20 (2-3)	h		
7	CH, cholesteryl acetate	1.36 (10.0)	3.77 (10.0)	2.09 (10.0)	-30 ca20 (0.5)	1.06 (mix)	59.5	GLC after column chroma- tography. Separated by preparative GLC ⁱ
8	~ -•	0.49 (5.0)	1.885 (5.0)	0.505 (5.0) ^j	-20-0	k		

^{*a*} Dicyclohexylethylamine was used. ^{*b*} Acetophenone was used as internal reference. ^{*c*} The NMR spectrum of crude product did not show any impurity. ^{*d*} β -Pinene was purified by fractionation using a spinning band column. ^{*e*} The product contains a very minor impurity as mentioned in Table II. Complete purification could be achieved by repeating TLC with sacrifice of yield. When tetramethylurea was used as base, almost no acylation product was formed. ^{*f*} Caryophyllene was purified by column chromatography on Merck neutral alumina. ^{*g*} A small amount of the product was purified by high-pressure liquid chromatography using *n*-heptane as eluent; complete separation by TLC failed although the mixed solvent system benzene–isopropyl methyl ketone was found to be superior. ^{*h*} Any acylated product was found to be negligibly small by TLC and IR. ^{*i*} Preparative separation was carried out on a carbowax column, since the DNPNE column cannot be used at temperatures higher than 150 °C. ^{*i*} Triethylamine was used as base in this case. This reaction was also examined in the absence of base but did not change at all except proceeding somewhat more slowly. ^{*k*} The yield seems poor. Formation of the enol acetate was confirmed by GLC comparison of the reaction mixture and an authentic sample prepared from cyclohexanone and isopropenyl acetate.

Finally, β , γ enones could be identified readily by their characteristic IR and NMR peaks (see Table 11).

Results. Table I illustrates the striking effect of added base on the proportion of the two acetylation products, β , γ - and α , β -unsaturated ketones. Whereas the product invariably contains 1-acetylcyclohexene⁸ (1b), when no acid trap is used, it is clear that the formation of this isomer can be fairly well suppressed in the presence of even a very weak base, tetramethylurea, and eventually totally by bases such as 2,4,6-collidine, triethylamine, and especially ethyldiisopropylamine and dicyclohexylethylamine9 which provide cleaner reaction products and higher yields than reactions where other bases are used (Table 1, No. 7). In Table 11, acetylated products obtained from various olefins are listed together with their NMR spectral data and the mass spectral data of the derived semicarbazones. Here again, the presence of hindered tertiary amine cleans up the reaction by allowing primary products to be isolated with minimal isomerization and decomposition. Note also that all reactions listed in Table 11 have been carried out with equimolar amounts of alkene and acetylating agent. Presumably by using an excess of alkene the yields can be increased further.

IR and GLC analyses of all reaction mixtures revealed clearly that the highly selective formation of β , γ -unsaturated ketones is a general feature of these acetylations regardless of the thermodynamic stability of the product ketones.¹⁰ Acid-catalyzed isomerization studies indicated that under comparable conditions ketones bearing tetrasubstituted and trisubstituted double bonds survived or rearranged much more slowly into α , β -unsaturated ketones than those with disubstituted double bonds.

Discussion

The reactivity toward acetylation is dependent on olefin structure as indicated by the results from methylenecyclohexane (2) and isobutene (3) which are more reactive than cyclohexene¹⁴ (1), whereas in caryophyllene (5) it is the strained trisubstituted trans double bond which is attacked preferentially. Interestingly, in limonene (7) the sterically accessible disubstituted double bond is more reactive by a factor of 2.3 than the internal double bond, although the latter is trisubstituted. In contrast to the reaction with acetyl cation described here, limonene is attacked by singlet oxygen exclusively at the more electron-rich internal double bond.¹⁵ Attempted acetylation of cholesteryl acetate (6) with its highly hindered $\Delta^{5.6}$ double bond¹⁶ was not successful and led to recovery of starting material.

Hitherto, two mechanisms have been invoked to account for the formation of deconjugated enones, viz., electrophilic attack of acetyl cation to give β -ketocarbenium ion 9 as the key intermediate, which was visualized to suffer loss of a γ proton (path A, Scheme I)^{5b} or alternatively undergo cyclic transfer of the γ hydrogen to oxygen with simultaneous expulsion of the α proton, yielding dienol 10 and eventually enone 1a on kinetically controlled reprotonation (path B).^{3a,e,17}

As long as a mixture of α,β and β,γ enones is formed, there may be no need to question these mechanistic proposals. However, if a β -ketocarbenium ion analogous to 9 were involved as an intermediate in our conditions, the selective formation of deconjugated enones would be hard to understand, and fragile olefins such as β -pinene (4) and caryophyllene (5) should afford a host of further products familiar from conventional carbenium ion reactions. In fact, that the bicyclic skeleton of β -pinene survives at all in our conditions is inter-



Scheme II. Olefin Acetylation via One-Step Transfer of Hydrogen to Oxygena



^aNote that the reacting atoms (curved arrows) have been projected into a plane. For stereochemical detail see ref 19.

esting, since β -pinene has been polymerized by a wide variety of Lewis acids, even weak ones. Invariably, polymerization also entails opening of the four-membered ring and fragmentation, which provides part of the driving force for the reaction.¹⁸

We consider that the highly selective formation of β, γ unsaturated enones can be better rationalized by the following sequence (Scheme II), in which the acetyl cation plays the role of a powerful enophile¹⁹ by abstracting an allylic hydrogen from the olefin in concerted fashion. As has been shown by Olah on the basis of ¹³C NMR shifts and the strong carbonyl absorption in the IR near 2300 cm⁻¹, the oxonium resonance hybrid 11a makes the most important contribution to the structure of the acetyl cation,4b which is sterically readily accessible and isoelectronic with acetonitrile and methylacetylene, only more electrophilic. Thus, the whole reaction sequence in Scheme II can be formulated via intermediate oxygen cations.

In assessing the scope of our mechanism for rationalizing the course of aliphatic acetylations the following points should be kept in mind. The formation of a β -ketocarbenium ion analogous to 9 is, of course, reasonable in acylations of alkenes such as norbornene^{5b} which lack a suitably activated allylic hydrogen. Furthermore, given a more nucleophilic counterion, conventional electrophilic 1,2 additions to the carbon-carbon double bond seem entirely feasible. However, if as in the present instance the acetyl counterion is practically nonnucleophilic, concerted attack with formation of the resonancestabilized α -hydroxycarbenium ion 12a \leftrightarrow 12b appears to be energetically most profitable even though a C-H bond has to be broken in the process. In the future, ene reactions of free or long-lived acetyl cations and related species will have to be considered as a general reaction mode and may well join other well-established carbenium ion reactions. It also seems clear that charged enophiles²⁰ involving naked cations, even more so than enophiles activated by Lewis acids,²¹ are very reactive and show promise as reagents for the selective functionalization of olefins.²²

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Science Research Council, London, for support of this work.

References and Notes

- (1) Address correspondence to this author at Institut für Organische Chemie der Technischen Universität, Schneiderberg 1 B. D-3000 Hannover, Germany
- (2) I. L. Kondakov, Zh. Russ. Fiz.-Khim. O-va, 24, 309 (1892); I. L. Kondakov, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 7, 576 (1892), and subsequent papers reviewed in ref 3a; see also G. Blanc, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 19, 699 (1898); G. Darzens, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., 150, 707 (1910).
- Reviews: (a) C. D. Nenitzescu and A. T. Balaban, Friedel-Crafts Relat. React., 3, 1033–1052 (1964); (b) G. Baddeley, Q. Rev. Chem. Soc., 8, 355 (1954); (c) D. P. N. Satchell, Ibid., 17, 160 (1963); (d) P. F. G. Pralll, "Acylation Reactions: Their Applications and Mechanisms", Pergamon Press. Oxford, 1963: (e) J. K. Groves, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1, 73 (1972); (f) G. A. Olah, "Friedel-Crafts Chemistry", Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973, pp 129–132. (4) (a) G. A. Olah, S. J. Kuhn, W. S. Tolgyesi, and E. B. Baker, *J. Am. Chem.*
- Soc., 84, 2733 (1962); (b) G. A. Olah and A. M. White, ibid., 89, 7072 (1967); see also G. A. Olah, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 12, 173 (1973)
- (a) V. A. Smit, A. V. Semenovskii, O. V. Lyubinskaya, and V. F. Kucherov, (5) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 203, 604 (1972); (b) O. V. Lyubinskaya, V. A. Smit, A. V. Semenovskil, and V. F. Kucherov, Ibld., 203, 829 (1972); (c) G. V. Roltburd, V. A. Smlt, A. V. Semenovskil, A. A. Shchegolev, and V. F. Kucherov, Ibid., 203, 1086 (1972).
- It has been reported many years ago by Deno et al. that the β , γ -unsaturated ketone is the kinetically controlled and isolated product of the acylation (6) of 1-methylcyclohexene with acetic anhydride and zinc chloride; in this instance the carbon-carbon double bond, being trisubstituted, is relatively reluctant to shift into conjugation with the carbonyl group, see N. C. Deno and H. Chafetz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **74**, 3940 (1952); see also ref 7. (a) J. K. Groves, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1161 (1970); (b) A. T. Balaban, W. Schroth, and G. Fischer, *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.*, **10**, 241 (1969).
- (8) See ref 3a, pp 1117-1118, and also ref 5a, where 5-7% formation of this product is described.
- S. Hünig and M. Kiessel, Chem. Ber., 91, 380 (1958).
- (10) The interconversion of α,β- and β,γ-unsaturated ketones has been achieved by acids.^{11a-g} bases.^{11c,1,12} and enzymes.¹³ Generally, conjugated α,β enones are thermodynamically more stable than their β , γ isomers, although exceptions are known. For example, medium-ring cycloalk-2-enones are less stable than cycloalk-3-enones^{11c} and in an attempt to isomerize **2a** we failed to detect the α,β isomer, probably because it undergoes hydration similar to the isomerization of 3a, which affords 4-hydroxy-4-methylpentan-2-one via Michael addition to mesityl oxide.^{11g} For additional references to such interconversions, including quantitative studies, see H. O. House, 'Modern Synthetic Reactions'', W. A. Benjamin, Menlo Park, Calif., 1972. pp 644-645.
- (11)(a) W. R. Nes, E. Loeser, R. Kirdani, and J. Marsh, Tetrahedron, 19, 299 (1963); (b) S. K. Malhotra and H. J. Ringold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 3228 (1965), and related papers by these authors; (c) N. Heap and G. H. Whitham, J. Chem. Soc. B. 164 (1966 however, see also R. Helwig and M. Hanack, Justus Lieblgs Ann. Chem., 614 (1977); (d) T. N. Huckerby, N. A. J. Rogers, and A. Sattar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1113 (1967); (e) H. Morrison and S. R. Kurowsky, *Chem. Commun.*, 1098 (1967); (f) J. B. Jones and D. C. Wigfield, *Can. J. Chem.*, **47**, 4459 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **5**, 600 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 100 (1969); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) D. S. Noyce and M. Evett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 100 (1960); (g) (1960); (g 37, 394, 397 (1972)
- (12) (a) W. F. Benisek and A. Jacobson, Bioorg. Chem., 4, 41 (1975); (b) R. M. Pollack and R. H. Kayser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 4174 (1976). (13) P. Talaloy and A. M. Benson, *The Enzymes*, **6**, 591 (1972); R. L. Jones,
- Biochem. J., 139, 381 (1974); D. Portsmouth, A. C. Stoolmiller, and R. H. Abeles, J. Biol. Chem., 242, 2751 (1967).
- (14) Whereas some cyclohexene was recovered after work up, the reactions with methylenecyclohexane and isobutene did not lead to any recovery of starting material.
- (15) G. O. Schenck, K. Gollnick, G. Buchwald, S. Schroeter, and G. Ohloff, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 674, 93 (1964).
- (16) (a) P. Rosen and R. Karasiewicz, J. Org. Chem., 38, 289 (1973); (b) F. T. Bond and R. H. Cornelia, Chem. Commun., 1189 (1968). (17) P. F. G. Praill and B. Saville, Chem. Ind. (London), 495 (1960).
- (18) J. P. Kennedy, "Cationic Polymerization of Olefins: A Critical Inventory", Wlley, New York, N.Y., 1975
- H. M. R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 8, 556 (1969).
 P. E. Petersen, R. Brockington, and M. Dunham, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 3517 (1975); P. E. Petersen, R. Brockington, and D. W. Vidrine, *ibid.*, 98, 2660 (1976).
- For recent work see B. B. Snider, J. Org. Chem., 41, 3061 (1976); 39, 255 (21)(1974)
- (22)NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. Another reaction mode of more hindered acyl cations has just been reported by V. A. Smit et al., Synthesis, 742 (1976).